

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/041,678	01/07/2002	Gilbert Wolrich	10559-610001 / P12849	2963	
20985 7590 05/10/2004 FISH & RICHARDSON, PC 12390 EL CÁMINO RÉAL			EXAMINER		
			CHACE, CHRISTIAN		
SAN DIEGO, (ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2187	1.	
			DATE MAILED: 05/10/2004	\mathcal{H}	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

14

				AI			
	A	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7			
		10/041,678	WOLRICH ET AL.	/			
Office Action Sumi	mary E	xaminer	Art Unit	•			
	C	Christian P. Chace	2187				
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	communication appea	rs on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CO - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date - If the period for reply specified above is less - If NO period for reply is specified above, the - Failure to reply within the set or extended pe Any reply received by the Office later than the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFF	OMMUNICATION. ne provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a of this communication. than thirty (30) days, a reply will maximum statutory period will a riod for reply will, by statute, ca ree months after the mailing da	a). In no event, however, may thin the statutory minimum of the apply and will expire SIX (6) Mouse the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely DNTHS from the mailing date of this co ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	/. ommunication.			
Status		•					
1) Responsive to communicat	ion(s) filed on <u>14 April</u>	<u>2004</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This ad	ction is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with t	he practice under Ex	parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pendin	g in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) _	is/are withdrawn	from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allow	red.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejecte	d.						
7) Claim(s) is/are object	cted to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject	to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected	d to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on	is/are: a)□ accept	ted or b)□ objected t	o by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request tha	t any objection to the dra	wing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	is required if the drawir	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	⁻ R 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is ol	bjected to by the Exan	niner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made o a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ N	-	iority under 35 U.S.C.	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the	•						
			Application No				
3. Copies of the certifie			en received in this National	Stage			
	International Bureau (I		-1 2 d				
* See the attached detailed Of	tice action for a list of	the certified copies no	ot received.				
Attachment(c)							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🖂 Intender	v Summary (PTO-413)				
Notice of References Cited (F10-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review (PTO-948)	Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (P1		· —	f Informal Patent Application (PTC)-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>13</u> .		6)	·				



Art Unit: 2187

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 14 April 2004 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

Previously submitted amendment filed 26 February 2004 has been entered, and this Office action is in response to same. Applicants' arguments have been carefully and respectfully considered, but they are not persuasive. However, as this Office action is a first action on merits in response to a request for continued examination, it is NOT final.

Information Disclosure Statement

An Information Disclosure Statement was received 14 April 2004. However, none of the US Patent references, and US Patent Application references were received by examiner. The references that were received by examiner have been considered, and initialed on the PTO form 1449, which is attached hereto. The references that were not considered have a line drawn through them on the PTO form 1449, which is attached hereto. See MPEP 609 C. I. Examiner notes that resubmission of the missing documents as an electronic IDS may best enable most efficient prosecution.

ı

Art Unit: 2187

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chang et al (US Patent # 5,634,015).

With respect to independent claims 1 and 16, a method and article comprising a computer readable medium that stores computer-executable instructions (method) is disclosed in the abstract as providing for data communications.

Storing queue descriptors in a memory, the queue descriptors each specifying a structure of a respective queue is disclosed in column 18, lines 12-16, which discloses a QCB in the GAM (discussed in ore detail below) which stores a head pointer and a queue tail pointer, which are queue structures.

Determining which of the queue descriptors stored in the memory were most recently accessed according to "a criterion" is disclosed in column 18, lines 6-11, which discusses a queue being a list of packets stored in sequence, with a packet at the queue head being for urgent traffic. Being for urgent traffic is the "criterion" used. As the packets are received in sequence, the most recently accessed is at the top of the queue, and would, therefore, be dequeued from the head, as discussed in the citation.

Storing the determined subset (the subset being interpreted by examiner to be the QCB head pointers which inherently refer to the top of the queue list, or the most

Art Unit: 2187

recently accessed packets, as discussed supra) of queue descriptors on a cache in a processor's memory controller logic is disclosed in column 12, lines 29 and 30, which discuss the GAM local memory (cache) accessed by the processor P14.

Receiving a request to perform an enqueue or a dequeue operation with respect to a particular queue is disclosed in column 17, line 7 as an enqueue operation. A dequeue operation is disclosed in column 11, lines 40-43, which discloses releasing a packet to a free list of buffer space. This release inherently requires dequeueing, as there cannot be a queue for a buffer that does not exist any longer.

A queue descriptor (buffer pointer) is disclosed in column 17, lines 45-47. A cache is disclosed in figure 1 as local memory #30. Referencing a corresponding queue descriptor stored in the cache (in a processor's memory controller logic) to execute the operations, the queue descriptor specifying a structure of the particular queue is disclosed in column 17, lines 20-51 as the BTE, which is stored in the local memory and references corresponding descriptors.

With respect to claims 2, 10, and 17, maintaining a list of addresses associated with the subset of queue descriptors stored in the cache is disclosed, again, as the BTE stored in GAM local memory #30 in column 17, lines 20-51. The list being stored in a content addressable memory, or CAM, is disclosed in column 11, line 17, which discloses that the GAM local memory #30 is indeed a CAM. Also, column 48, lines 57-59 reinforce the desirability of an associative memory, which is what a CAM is.

With respect to claims 3 and 18, storing in the cache a queue descriptor corresponding to each address in the list I disclosed in column 17, line 25.

Art Unit: 2187

With respect to claims 4, 11, and 19, "tracking" an address stored in the local memory is disclosed in column 18, lines 5-12, the address corresponding to a queue descriptor that was least recently used for an enqueue or dequeue operation, as discussed supra with respect to claims 1 and 16.

With respect to claims 5, 12, and 20, removing the LRU address from the list if the list lacks an entry corresponding to the queue specified by the request and replacing the removed address with an address corresponding to the specified queue is disclosed in column 2, lines 22-28.

With respect to claims 6, 13, and 21, issuing commands to the memory controller logic to return and fetch queue descriptors to and from the memory is disclosed in column 17, lines 35-45. Maintaining coherence between the queue descriptors in the cache and the list of addresses in the local memory (CAM) is performed through the 1:1 ratio of descriptors each having their own address, as disclosed in the cited passage.

With respect to claims 7 and 14, modifying the queue descriptor referenced by the enqueue or dequeue operation and returning the modified queue descriptors to memory from the cache is disclosed in column 17, lines 45-51. When a buffer goes from free buffer to allocated, the BTE information is "modified," or changed.

With respect to claims 8 and 23, executing an enqueue operation without waiting for completion of a previous operation is discussed in column 5, lines 26-29. Also, column 16, line 38 discloses "multicast," which being multiple operations being performed at one time, reads on the instant claim as well. The passage recites,

Art Unit: 2187

"Without waiting for sources" of new allocation, a new allocation being an enqueue operation.

With respect to independent claim 9, a memory to store queue descriptors is disclosed as PM #16 in figure 1, to which the BTE references queues. The BTE specifies the structure of the respective queues stored in PM #16 as they are allocated from the free buffer pool.

A network processor is disclosed coupled to the memory as #22 in figure 1.

A memory controller logic that includes a cache (#30) to store a subset of the queue descriptors (BTE) in the memory is disclosed as GAM #18 in figure 1 as well. Determining which of the queue descriptors stored in the memory were most recently accessed according to "a criterion" is disclosed in column 18, lines 6-11, which discusses a queue being a list of packets stored in sequence, with a packet at the queue head being for urgent traffic. Being for urgent traffic is the "criterion" used. As the packets are received in sequence, the most recently accessed is at the top of the queue, and would, therefore, be dequeued from the head, as discussed in the citation. Storing the determined subset (the subset being interpreted by examiner to be the QCB head pointers which inherently refer to the top of the queue list, or the most recently accessed packets, as discussed supra)

A programming engine that accesses a list of addresses in the memory corresponding to the queue descriptors stored in the cache is disclosed as BTE, as discussed supra with respect to claims 1 and 16.

Art Unit: 2187

The processor being configured to reference a corresponding queue descriptor in the cache in response to a request to perform an enqueue or dequeue operation with respect to a particular queue, also discussed with respect to claims 1 and 16, is disclosed in column 17, lines 45-47.

With respect to claim 15, the processor being configured to execute an enqueue operation without waiting for completion of a previous operation is disclosed supra with respect to claim 8. Doing so if the queue would otherwise be "unempty" upon completion of the dequeue operation is disclosed in column 20, lines 23-27.

Response to Arguments

With respect to applicants' argument that Chang does not describe or suggest, "determining which of the queue descriptors stored in the memory were most recently accessed according to specific criteria, and storing the determined subset of queue descriptors in a cache in a processor's memory controller logic," examiner respectfully notes that this is not the exact claim language, and, therefore, the instant argument is not commensurate in scope with the actual claim language in claim 1, as asserted by applicants in the 3rd paragraph of their remarks section of the instant amendment. However, the actual claim language is addressed supra with respect to Chang's anticipation of same.

With respect to applicants' similar arguments for claims 9 and 16, examiner has addressed the alleged deficiencies of Chang et al as it relates to the instant claim language supra.

Art Unit: 2187

Conclusion

Page 8

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christian P. Chace whose telephone number is 703.306.5903. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-4-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald Sparks can be reached on 703.308.1756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christian P. Chace